

## REMARKS

Applicant requests favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-10, 12-19, 24-29 and 31 are pending in the present application. Claims 1, 10, 19, and 31 are the independent claims.

Claims 5, 21-23, and 30 have been cancelled without prejudice. Claims 1, 3, 10, 12, and 19 have been amended and new Claim 31 has been added. Applicants submit that support for these amendments can be found in the original disclosure, and therefore no new matter has been added.

Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35.U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,445,815 (Sato). Claims 28-30 are rejected under 35.U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato. Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections for the reasons discussed below.

As recited in Claims 1, 10, 19, and 31, the present invention includes, *inter alia*, the features of displaying an augmented reality video viewed from a first viewpoint position on a screen of a predetermined display apparatus, generating a video of a virtual object viewed from a player's viewpoint position using acquired information indicating the player's viewpoint position, and displaying to the player an augmented reality video viewed from the player's viewpoint position on a screen of a player's display apparatus independently from the predetermined display apparatus. According to these features, the present invention recited in Claims 1, 10, 19, and 31 generates an augmented reality video according to a player's viewpoint and according to a first viewpoint different from the player's viewpoint, and the present invention also includes two display means (a

predetermined display apparatus, e.g., display 106, and a player's display apparatus, e.g., HMD107.

Applicants submit that the cited art fails to disclose or suggest at least the above-mentioned features of the present invention. For example, Sato discloses generating an augmented reality video to be presented to a player on the basis of a right eye of the player and a left eye of the player. However, Applicants submit that Sato fails to disclose or suggest a configuration for generating an augmented reality video according to a viewpoint different from the player's viewpoint.

Further, Sato discloses only one display means, i.e., HMD 100, for displaying videos. In this regard, Applicants note that the Office Action states that the objective viewpoint display means corresponds to HMD 100 of Sato and the display means corresponds to LCDs 103 of Sato. However, according to Sato, the LCDs 103 are provided in the HMD 100, and therefore they are the same display means and display video from the player's viewpoint. Accordingly, Applicants submit that Sato discloses only one display means.

For the foregoing reasons, Applicants submit that the present invention recited in Claims 1, 10, 19, and 31 is patentable over the cited art. The dependent claims are patentable for at least the same reasons as the independent claims, as well as for the additional features they recite.

For the foregoing reasons, Applicants submit that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration, entry of this Amendment, withdrawal of the rejections set forth in the above-mentioned Office Action, and an early Notice of Allowance are requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, DC office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below-listed address.

Respectfully submitted,



Attorney for Applicants  
Brian L. Klock  
Registration No.36,570

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO  
30 Rockefeller Plaza  
New York, New York 10112-3801  
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200  
BLK/lmj